

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,904	11/13/2003	David W. Brown	6371-4	7313
1059	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BERESKIN AND PARR			MORGAN, EILEEN P	
SCOTIA PL	AZA REET WEST-SUITE 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON M5H 3Y2	3723		
CANADA		DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
			004	BROWN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Eileen P		3723			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep earned	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerced for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or eptly within the set or extended period for reply bly received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the stateturory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be tin stutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 February 2004</u> .						
7—							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the act a) Of the above claim(s) is/act claim(s) is/act allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co					
Applicatio	n Papers						
9)□ T	ne specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)□ T	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1:85(a).						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including ne oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b)	documents have bed documents have bed of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔀 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 2/2//04	PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,904

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
 - 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - 3. Claim 1, line 1, what 'defines' a hole? Claim 13, line 1, 'from' should be 'for'.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wern-5,107,631.

Wern discloses an apparatus for blasting an inside bore of a workpiece clamped (52) to a frame comprising a movable and rotatable rod for delivering a pressurized fluid from a distal end therefore to the inside of the bore (hole).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan-8-174527 in view of Wern '631.

Japan discloses deflashing a surface of a brick. Japan does not disclose deflashing a hole of a brick with pressurized fluid from a rod. However, Wern teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/705,904

Art Unit: 3723

blasting an inside bore of a workpiece clamped (52) to a frame comprising a movable and rotatable rod for delivering a pressurized fluid from a distal end therefore to the inside of the bore (hole). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to use a pressurized fluid from a rod, as taught by Wern, to deflash a brick, as disclosed by Japan '527 in order to thoroughly deflash all areas of a brick including the inside of bores. In regard to claims 11 and 12, to deflash a plurality of aligned brick holes with a plurality of rods would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 15-21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (Office), Friday (Work at home).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,904

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EM February 3, 2005

> EILEEN P. MORGAN BRIMARY EXAMINER